

Comments on the manuscript: “The weak absorbing outflow in AGN Mrk 279: evidence of super-solar metal abundances” astro-ph/0611578 by Fields et al.

Nahum Arav¹, Jelle Kaastra², and Elisa Costantini^{2,3}

¹ CASA, University of Colorado, 389 UCB, Boulder, CO 80309-0389, USA

² SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research Sorbonnelaan 2, 3584 CA Utrecht, The Netherlands

³ Astronomical Institute, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80000, 3508 TA, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Abstract. A recent manuscript posted on astro-ph (0611578) by Fields et al. (hereafter F06) reports evidence of supersolar metal abundances in Mrk 279 by analyzing its Chandra LETGS X-ray spectrum. We point out that it is impossible in principle to obtain direct metal abundances from these X-ray data, since there is no handle on the amount of hydrogen column density. If F06 would have lowered their C, N, O and Fe abundance by a factor of ten and increased the hydrogen column density by a factor of ten, they would have obtained an almost identical fit with subsolar metallicity. F06 find support for their supersolar metal abundances from a cursory analysis of the UV data from the same Mrk 279 campaign. We point out that F06 included in that analysis portions of the UV trough that are known to arise from gas unrelated to the outflow, which weakens the support from the UV data. A detailed analysis of the Chandra LETGS X-ray spectrum was accepted for publication in A&A on Sept 14 2006 (Costantini et al 2006; hereafter C06) and posted on astro-ph on the same date. F06 ignore most of this published analysis while duplicating the finding of two ionization components with similar parameters to the ones found by C06. Finally, we note that it is possible to derive accurate abundances from the UV data set of this object. We already published these findings in a conference proceedings and have submitted the relevant manuscript to ApJ. We find that relative to solar the abundances in the Mrk 279 outflow are (linear scaling): carbon 2.2 ± 0.7 , nitrogen 3.5 ± 1.1 and oxygen 1.6 ± 0.8 .

Key words. galaxies: active — galaxies: individual (Mrk 279) — galaxies: Seyfert — galaxies: abundances — line: formation — quasars: absorption lines

1. Introduction

We comment on the Fields et al. paper entitled “The weak absorbing outflow in AGN Mrk 279: evidence of supersolar metal abundances” that recently appeared on astro-ph (astro-ph/0611578; hereafter F06). This paper analyses the data from a deep simultaneous *Chandra*-LETGS/HST-STIS/FUSE spectroscopic campaign on the AGN outflow seen in this object. We, the PI team, published four refereed papers on this data set (Kaastra et al. 2004; Gabel et al. 2005; Arav et al. 2005; Costantini et al. 2006) and submitted another manuscript to ApJ a few months ago (Arav et al. 2006b). We find several problems with the F06 analysis and their reference to our previous work on this data set. In this comment we elaborate on these points.

2. Fitting the X-ray data

a) F06 reports that a single power-law plus Galactic absorption provides a good fit to the LETGS data between 10-50 Å (see their Sect. 3.1). In contrast, in C06 we find that two components are required: in addition to a power-law ($\Gamma \sim 2$) the spec-

trum requires a soft excess with a break energy above 10 Å (See Tab. 1 of C06). b) Moreover, around energies of crucial oxygen ion transitions (O VII triplet, O VIII Ly α) we found evidence of broad excess emission (first shown by Kaastra et al. (2004) and accurately modeled in C06). Not taking those gaussian-shaped emission components into account can lead the spectral-fit astray and compromises the calculation of the column density for O VII and O V. c) Finally, F06 assume throughout the paper an arbitrary velocity dispersion of 100 km s⁻¹, which may cause additional uncertainties in fitting the data and for column densities estimates. Using the information on both the most prominent X-ray ions fitting and the UV absorption lines, C06 adopted instead 50 km s⁻¹.

The treatment of the errors is quite vague throughout the F06 paper. In particular, there are no quoted errors on the non-solar abundances, which is the major topic of the paper. It seems remarkable that a reduced χ^2 less than unity applies for all the models tested.

Another minor point on the X-ray analysis is related to the Kaastra et al. 2004 paper, where we report the tentative detection of the O V* absorption line, produced from a meta-stable

As pointed out in Scott et al. (2004, Sect. 3.4) and Gabel et al. (2005) much of the absorption feature associated with component 4 (including 4a) is due to gas that is unrelated to the intrinsic outflow in Mrk 279. Inclusion of measurements from that gas cast doubt on the validity of the F06 photoionization plots (their figs. 4 and 5) and affects their conclusions from the UV data. Furthermore, F06 did not attempt to solve for the ionization equilibrium and abundances of the UV absorber. They merely claimed that their X-ray photoionization solution for the supersolar abundances case is a better fit for the UV data than their solar abundances X-ray photoionization solution. But as pointed out above their X-ray fit could be achieved with a sub-solar metallicity model.

Gabel, J. R., Arav, N., Kaastra, J. S., Kriss, G. A., Behar, E., Costantini, E., et al. 2005, ApJ, 623, 85

Kaastra, J. S., Raassen, A.J.J., Mewe, R. et al. 2004, A&A , 428, 57

Scott, J. E., Kriss, G. A., Lee, J. C., et al., 2004, ApJS, 152, 1

5. Ignoring and referencing published results

The paper by F06 was only recently submitted to ApJ, while C06 was accepted by A&A more than two months earlier (Sept 14 2006). It is unfortunate that in their Introduction F06 ignores C06 and only mention an out-of-date, un-refereed conference proceedings that appeared in 2005 (Costantini et al. 2005). Due to the above time-line we are also puzzled by the F06 sentence (§ 4.3) regarding the C06 work: “Their new results agree with ours...” Proper referencing should have been “Our results agree with C06...” In addition F06 seems to ignore much of the results on the warm absorber that were presented in C06: For example The shape of the underlying continuum and the detected X-ray broad emission lines have direct relevance to the analysis of this warm absorber.

6. Can abundances be determined from this data set?

It is possible to derive accurate abundances from the UV data set of this object since these data include the crucial H I absorption troughs. We presented these findings several conferences, published them in a conference proceedings (Arav 2006a) and submitted a manuscript to ApJ (Arav et al. 2006b) with these results, which passed through one refereeing cycle. In that paper, we determine the chemical abundances by using a careful velocity-dependent analysis of portions of the trough that are unambiguously associated with the outflow. We find that relative to solar the abundances in the Mrk 279 outflow are (linear scaling): carbon 2.2 ± 0.7 , nitrogen 3.5 ± 1.1 and oxygen 1.6 ± 0.8 . We will post this detailed analysis as soon as the paper is accepted.

References

- Arav, N., Kaastra, J.S., Kriss, G.A., et al., 2005, ApJ, 620, 665
- Arav, N. 2006a, MemSAIt, 77, 589
- Arav, N. et al., 2006b, ApJ, submitted
- Costantini, E., Kaastra, J. S., Steenbrugge, K. C., Arav, N., Gabel, J. R., & Kriss, G. 2005, AIPC, 774, 321
- Costantini, E., et al. 2006, A&A, in press, astro-ph/0609385
- Fields, D. L., Mathur, S., Krongold, Y., Williams, R., Nicastro, F., 2006, astro-ph/0611578